

CASE DIGEST: *NLRB*, 74 FLRA 196 (2025)

The Arbitrator denied the Agency's motion to dismiss two related grievances, finding they were procedurally arbitrable. The Agency filed interlocutory exceptions challenging the denial on contrary-to-law, essence, ambiguity, and public-policy grounds. The Authority found the Agency failed to satisfy the standard for interlocutory review and, therefore, dismissed the Agency's exceptions without prejudice.

Member Kiko dissented, reiterating her concerns with the majority's interlocutory-review standard. She highlighted the inefficiency of dismissing the Agency's contrary-to-law exception—rather than denying it—after the Authority determined the exception lacked merit.

This case digest is a summary of a decision issued by the Federal Labor Relations Authority, with a short description of the issues and facts of the case. Descriptions contained in this case digest are for informational purposes only, do not constitute legal precedent, and are not intended to be a substitute for the opinion of the Authority.