

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART 1 - PRE-CHARGE

A. PRE-CHARGE ASSISTANCE [1A-1](#)

 1. **GENERAL PRE-FILING TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE** [1A-1](#)

 2. **DRAFTING THE CHARGE** [1A-2](#)

 3. **COLLECTING AND ORGANIZING SUPPORTING EVIDENCE** [1A-2](#)

 4. **LEGAL IMPEDIMENTS PRECLUDING THE FILING OF A CHARGE** [1A-3](#)

 5. **E-MAIL AND PRE-CHARGE ASSISTANCE** [1A-4](#)

 6. **CONFIRMATION AND DOCUMENTATION OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE** [1A-4](#)

B. ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION SERVICES [1B-1](#)

 1. **WHAT ADR SERVICES ARE PROVIDED** [1B-1](#)

 2. **BENEFITS OF CADR SERVICES** [1B-2](#)

 3. **CADR SERVICES PROVIDE LEADERSHIP AND PROMOTE STABLE AND PRODUCTIVE LABOR-MANAGEMENT RELATIONSHIPS** [1B-2](#)

 4. **HOW CADR SERVICES ARE INITIATED** [1B-7](#)

 5. **DECIDING WHETHER TO PROVIDE AN CADR SERVICE** [1B-7](#)

 6. **NOTIFICATION OF THE PARENT OR NATIONAL ORGANIZATION** [1B-7](#)

PART 2 - THE CHARGE

A. FILING A CHARGE [2A-1](#)

 1. **WHO MAY FILE A CHARGE** [2A-1](#)

 2. **WHERE TO FILE A CHARGE** [2A-1](#)

 3. **WHEN TO FILE A CHARGE** [2A-2](#)

 4. **WHAT TO FILE** [2A-3](#)

 5. **HOW TO FILE A CHARGE** [2A-4](#)

 6. **CHARGES MAY BE TRANSFERRED AMONG THE REGIONS** [2A-5](#)

B. DOCKETING THE CHARGE [2B-1](#)

 1. **DOCKETING CHARGES RECEIVED BY FAX OR MAIL** [2B-1](#)

 2. **CLARIFICATION OF CHARGE IS REQUIRED** [2B-2](#)

 3. **DOCKETING CHARGES HANDED TO AN AGENT IN THE FIELD** [2B-3](#)

 4. **ENTRY INTO ORACLE CASE TRACKING** [2B-3](#)

 5. **THE OPENING LETTER—FIRST WRITTEN CONTACT WITH THE PARTIES AFTER A CHARGE IS DOCKETED** [2B-3](#)

C. THE CASE FILE [2C-1](#)

 1. **CREATION OF CASE FILE** [2C-1](#)

 2. **TYPES OF DOCUMENTS OR MATERIALS IN THE CASE FILE** [2C-1](#)

 3. **ORGANIZATION OF THE CASE FILE** [2C-4](#)

D. REVIEWING THE CHARGE [2D-1](#)

 1. **GENERAL MATTERS THAT ARE REVIEWED IN EVERY CASE AFTER A CHARGE IS DOCKETED** [2D-1](#)

 2. **TRANSFER OF CASE TO ANOTHER REGION** [2D-2](#)

 3. **CONSIDERATION OF CADR PROGRAM OR ALTERNATIVE CASE PROCESSING PROCEDURE** [2D-2](#)

E. INJUNCTIONS [2E-1](#)

 1. **RO REVIEWS ALL CHARGES TO DETERMINE WHETHER TO SEEK TEMPORARY RELIEF** [2E-1](#)

 2. **SECTION 7123(d) OF THE STATUTE** [2E-1](#)

 3. **CASE LAW** [2E-2](#)

 4. **FACTORS THAT DETERMINE WHETHER § 7123(d) INJUNCTIVE CRITERIA ARE MET** [2E-2](#)

 5. **IMPLEMENTATION** [2E-4](#)

 6. **EXPEDITED INVESTIGATION** [2E-6](#)

 7. **RD DETERMINATION ON THE MERITS OF THE CHARGE AND THE APPROPRIATENESS OF TEMPORARY RELIEF** [2E-9](#)

 8. **PROCESSING OF REQUEST FOR TEMPORARY RELIEF** [2E-9](#)

 9. **THE AUTHORITY’S ACTION ON THE GC’S REQUEST** [2E-12](#)

 10. **SEEKING TEMPORARY RELIEF IN DISTRICT COURT** [2E-13](#)

11. LITIGATION OF THE ULP COMPLAINT AFTER APPROPRIATE TEMPORARY RELIEF HAS BEEN OBTAINED [2E-13](#)

F. ASSIGNING THE CASE [2F-1](#)

 1. FACTORS CONSIDERED IN THE ASSIGNMENT OF CASES [2F-1](#)

 2. EXAMPLES OF PRACTICES IN THE ASSIGNMENT OF CASES [2F-1](#)

G. PRE-INVESTIGATION: INITIAL WRITTEN OR ORAL CONTACT WITH THE PARTIES [2G-1](#)

 1. AFTER RECEIPT OF A CASE FILE, THE AGENT DRAFTS A LETTER OR TELEPHONES THE PARTIES' REPRESENTATIVES [2G-1](#)

 2. CONSIDERATION OF CADR PROGRAM OR ALTERNATIVE CASE PROCESSING PROCEDURE [2G-3](#)

H. AMENDING THE CHARGE [2H-1](#)

 1. EXAMPLES OF SITUATIONS REQUIRING THAT A CHARGE BE AMENDED [2H-1](#)

 2. TIME CONSIDERATIONS UNDER § 7118(a)(4)(A) OF THE STATUTE [2H-2](#)

 3. WHAT IS REQUIRED TO AMEND A CHARGE [2H-3](#)

 4. SERVICE REQUIREMENTS [2H-3](#)

 5. RO EMPLOYEES ARE AVAILABLE AT ALL TIMES TO ASSIST THE CHARGING PARTY IN AMENDING A CHARGE [2H-3](#)

 6. CHARGED PARTY OPPORTUNITY TO RESPOND TO AMENDED CHARGE [2H-4](#)

I. PROCESSING CHARGES RELATED TO FSIP REQUESTS FOR ASSISTANCE [2I-1](#)

 WHEN THE UNDERLYING ALLEGATIONS OF A ULP CHARGE CONCERN A NEGOTIATION IMPASSE [2I-1](#)

J. PROCESSING CHARGES RELATED TO PENDING NEGOTIABILITY APPEALS ... [2J-1](#)

 1. CHECK THE CHARGE FORM 22 [2J-1](#)

 2. NOTIFY OGC HEADQUARTERS [2J-1](#)

K. PROCESSING CHARGES RELATED TO A PENDING REPRESENTATION PETITION [2K-1](#)

 1. PRIORITY GIVEN TO ULP CHARGES THAT “BLOCK” REPRESENTATION PETITIONS [2K-1](#)

 2. NON-MERIT DETERMINATION OF THE ULP CHARGE “UNBLOCKS” A REPRESENTATION CASE [2K-1](#)

 3. DEFER PROCESSING ULP CHARGE UNTIL RESOLUTION OF PENDING REPRESENTATION CASE [2K-2](#)

 4. RO RECEIPT OF ULP CHARGE THAT RAISES REPRESENTATION ISSUE [2K-2](#)

L. PROCESSING CHARGES RELATED TO A PENDING MSPB, SPECIAL COUNSEL OR DOL CASE [2L-1](#)

 1. EXPEDITIOUS IDENTIFICATION AND DISPOSITION OF ULP CHARGES THAT RELATE TO CASES BEFORE ANOTHER ADJUDICATORY FORUM [2L-1](#)

 2. LIAISON WITH MSPB, SPECIAL COUNSEL AND DOL [2L-2](#)

 3. REQUEST FOR INFORMATION FROM CASE FILE [2L-2](#)

[PART 3 - THE INVESTIGATION](#)

A. PREPARATION FOR INVESTIGATION [3A-1](#)

 1. THE AGENT COMPLIES WITH OGC POLICIES IN DEVELOPING INVESTIGATIVE PLAN [3A-1](#)

 2. FACTORS CONSIDERED IN DETERMINING WHETHER THE INVESTIGATIVE PLAN IS WRITTEN [3A-2](#)

 3. STEPS TO PREPARE FOR AN INVESTIGATION [3A-2](#)

B. ALTERNATIVE CASE PROCESSING PROCEDURE [3B-1](#)

 1. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE ALTERNATIVE CASE PROCESSING PROCEDURE [3B-1](#)

 2. OBJECTIVES WHEN USING AN ALTERNATIVE CASE PROCESSING PROCEDURE . [3B-1](#)

 3. CRITERIA APPLIED IN DETERMINING WHETHER TO OFFER THE CADR PROCEDURE TO THE PARTIES [3B-2](#)

4. EXPLANATION OF THE ALTERNATIVE CASE PROCESSING PROCEDURE [3B-4](#)

5. NOTIFICATION OF THE PARENT OR NATIONAL ORGANIZATION [3B-7](#)

6. ENFORCEMENT [3B-7](#)

C. QUALITY STANDARDS FOR INVESTIGATIONS [3C-1](#)

1. OBJECTIVES OF QUALITY STANDARDS [3C-1](#)

2. QUALITY STANDARDS APPLICABLE TO EVERY INVESTIGATION [3C-1](#)

3. EXPLANATION OF THE STANDARDS [3C-2](#)

4. IMPLEMENTATION OF QUALITY STANDARDS [3C-4](#)

D. SCOPE OF INVESTIGATIONS [3D-1](#)

1. SCOPE OF ULP INVESTIGATIONS [3D-1](#)

2. CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING THE SCOPE OF INVESTIGATIONS [3D-1](#)

3. RDS MAY CONCLUDE AN INVESTIGATION [3D-2](#)

4. EXPLANATION OF THE CRITERIA [3D-2](#)

5. RD DISAGREES WITH AGENT’S DECISION TO SOLICIT A WITHDRAWAL [3D-6](#)

E. ARRANGING ON-SITE INVESTIGATIONS [3E-1](#)

1. WHEN TO DO AN ON-SITE INVESTIGATION [3E-1](#)

2. NOTIFICATION TO AGENCY OF PLAN TO GO ON-SITE [3E-2](#)

3. OFFICIAL TIME FOR WITNESSES [3E-2](#)

4. MANAGEMENT DECLINES TO MAKE A WITNESS AVAILABLE [3E-4](#)

F. EVIDENCE, IN GENERAL [3F-1](#)

1. EVIDENCE V. INFORMATION [3F-1](#)

2. DETERMINING THE BEST METHOD OF OBTAINING EVIDENCE [3F-2](#)

3. THE INVESTIGATION OBTAINS THE BEST POSSIBLE EVIDENCE [3F-3](#)

4. CHOOSING BETWEEN DOCUMENTARY AND SWORN TESTIMONIAL EVIDENCE .. [3F-3](#)

5. **ASSESSING RELEVANCE AND WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE** [3F-4](#)

6. **BALANCE BETWEEN THE AMOUNT OF NECESSARY EVIDENCE AND THE AMOUNT OF EVIDENCE THAT THE CHARGING PARTY INSISTS ON SUBMITTING** . [3F-6](#)

G. DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE [3G-1](#)

1. **DEFINITION OF DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE** [3G-1](#)

2. **REQUIREMENTS OF CASE FILE** [3G-1](#)

3. **USE OF THE INTERNET** [3G-2](#)

H. AFFIDAVITS TAKEN IN PERSON [3H-1](#)

1. **GENERAL RULES PERTAINING TO ALL AFFIDAVITS** [3H-1](#)

2. **BASIC STEPS OF TAKING AN ON-SITE AFFIDAVIT** [3H-3](#)

3. **CHARGING PARTY WITNESS AFFIDAVIT/S--THEORY OF THE CASE AND ESSENTIAL FACTUAL ELEMENTS** [3H-4](#)

4. **ADDITIONAL AFFIDAVITS** [3H-5](#)

5. **CHARACTERISTICS OF A QUALITY AFFIDAVIT** [3H-5](#)

6. **DOCUMENTS REFERRED TO IN THE AFFIDAVIT** [3H-7](#)

7. **AFFIDAVITS COVERING MULTIPLE CHARGES** [3H-7](#)

8. **REPRESENTATION OF THE CHARGING PARTY, CHARGED PARTY, AND NEUTRAL WITNESSES** [3H-8](#)

I. TELEPHONIC AFFIDAVITS [3I-1](#)

1. **CRITERIA FOR TAKING A TELEPHONIC AFFIDAVIT** [3I-1](#)

2. **HOW TO TAKE A TELEPHONIC AFFIDAVIT** [3I-2](#)

3. **USE OF UNSIGNED/UNRETURNED TELEPHONIC AFFIDAVITS** [3I-3](#)

J. SWORN QUESTIONNAIRES TRANSMITTED TO AND FROM THE REGION BY MAIL [3J-1](#)

1. **WHEN TO USE A SWORN QUESTIONNAIRE** [3J-1](#)

2. **CHARACTERISTICS OF A QUALITY QUESTIONNAIRE** [3J-2](#)

3. **REGIONS SHARE QUESTIONNAIRES VIA E-MAIL** [3J-3](#)

K. INVESTIGATORY SUBPOENAS [3K-1](#)

1. **WHEN DOES AN RD CONSIDER REQUESTING THAT THE GC ISSUE AN INVESTIGATORY SUBPOENA** [3K-1](#)

2.	CRITERIA RD'S APPLY IN DETERMINING WHETHER TO REQUEST THAT THE GC ISSUE AN INVESTIGATORY SUBPOENA	<u>3K-1</u>
3.	PROCESS FOR REQUESTING AND OBTAINING GC'S ISSUANCE OF INVESTIGATORY SUBPOENA	<u>3K-2</u>
4.	BEFORE A SUBPOENA ISSUES, THE CHARGED PARTY HAS A LAST CHANCE TO COOPERATE WITH THE INVESTIGATION	<u>3K-3</u>
5.	SERVICE OF SUBPOENA	<u>3K-3</u>
6.	REVOCATION OF SUBPOENA	<u>3K-4</u>
7.	ENFORCEMENT PROCEEDINGS	<u>3K-4</u>
L.	INTERVIEWS WHEN NO AFFIDAVITS ARE TAKEN	<u>3L-1</u>
1.	A MEMORANDUM TO THE FILE IS NOT EVIDENCE	<u>3L-1</u>
2.	CONFIRMING LETTERS OF CHARGING PARTY WITNESS	<u>3L-1</u>
3.	INTERVIEWS WITH CHARGED PARTY REPRESENTATIVES AND OTHER AGENTS WHEN NO AFFIDAVITS ARE TAKEN	<u>3L-3</u>
4.	INTERVIEWS WITH NON-PARTY WITNESSES GIVING FACTS WHEN NO AFFIDAVITS ARE TAKEN	<u>3L-4</u>
M.	IMPROPERLY OBTAINED OR PURLOINED INFORMATION/EVIDENCE	<u>3M-1</u>
1.	AN AGENT OF THE FLRA NEVER ENGAGES IN COMPLICITY IN IMPROPERLY OBTAINING EVIDENCE	<u>3M-1</u>
2.	AN AGENT IS ADVISED THAT A WITNESS HAS EVIDENCE THAT MAY HAVE BEEN IMPROPERLY OBTAINED/PURLOINED	<u>3M-1</u>
3.	CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING WHETHER TO ACCEPT AND USE SUCH EVIDENCE	<u>3M-2</u>
4.	AUTHORITY PRECEDENT	<u>3M-3</u>
5.	NLRB/COURT PRECEDENT	<u>3M-4</u>
N.	DISCLOSURE OF EVIDENCE--DISCUSSING THE CONTENTS AND FURNISHING COPIES	<u>3N-1</u>
1.	DISCLOSURE OF A PARTY'S DOCUMENTARY OR PHYSICAL EVIDENCE	<u>3N-1</u>

2. DISCLOSURE OF AFFIDAVITS, SWORN QUESTIONNAIRES,
AND CONFIRMING LETTERS [3N-2](#)

O. DUTY OF THE CHARGING PARTY [3O-1](#)

1. PURSUANT TO § [2423.8\(b\)\(1\)](#), COOPERATION
INCLUDES [3O-1](#)

2. DISMISSALS FOR LACK OF COOPERATION AND
DISMISSALS ON THE MERITS FOR PARTIAL
COOPERATION [3O-1](#)

3. CASE PROCESSING TECHNIQUES [3O-4](#)

P. DUTY OF THE CHARGED PARTY [3P-1](#)

1. CHARGED PARTY COOPERATION IS SOUGHT THROUGHOUT THE ENTIRE
PROCESSING OF THE CHARGE [3P-1](#)

2. USE OF THE INVESTIGATORY SUBPOENA [3P-2](#)

Q. RESOLVING DISPUTES DURING INVESTIGATIONS AND
PRIOR TO A MERIT DETERMINATION [3Q-1](#)

1. GUIDELINES WHEN ASSISTING THE PARTIES IN RESOLVING THEIR DISPUTE .. [3Q-1](#)

2. CRITERIA AND PRINCIPLES GOVERNING THE RESOLUTION OF ULP CHARGES [3Q-2](#)

3. APPLICATION OF INTEREST-BASED PROBLEM-SOLVING PROCESS
TO RESOLUTION OF ULP CHARGES [3Q-3](#)

R. ENSURING EMPLOYEE SAFETY DURING AN INVESTIGATION [3R-1](#)

1. DEFINITIONS OF POTENTIALLY THREATENING SITUATIONS [3R-1](#)

2. ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF ALL EMPLOYEES [3R-2](#)

3. STEPS TO FOLLOW WHEN CONFRONTED BY THREATENING BEHAVIOR
POSING AN IMMINENT DANGER [3R-3](#)

4. REPORTING POTENTIALLY THREATENING
INCIDENT [3R-3](#)

5. CONTENTS OF THE AGENT’S REPORT [3R-4](#)

6. The RD CONSIDERS CHANGES IN THE RELATIONSHIP
WITH A PARTY IN LIGHT OF AN INCIDENT [3R-4](#)

7. STEPS TO REPORT NON-THREATENING INCIDENTS [3R-5](#)

S. DEALING WITH PARTIES WHO DISPLAY ABUSIVE BEHAVIOR DURING AN INVESTIGATION [3S-1](#)

 1. **DEFINITION OF ABUSIVE BEHAVIOR** [3S-1](#)

 2. **ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF ALL EMPLOYEES** [3S-2](#)

 3. **STEPS TO FOLLOW IF EXPERIENCING ABUSIVE BEHAVIOR** [3S-3](#)

 4. **THE RD CONSIDERS CHANGES IN THE RELATIONSHIP WITH PARTY IN LIGHT OF INCIDENT** [3S-4](#)

[PART 4 - POST-INVESTIGATION](#)

A. AGENT’S INVOLVEMENT IN WITHDRAWAL REQUESTS PRIOR TO A REGIONAL DIRECTOR MERIT DETERMINATION [4A-1](#)

 1. **STANDARDS UNDER WHICH RO AGENTS CAN SOLICIT WITHDRAWAL OF A CHARGE BEFORE A MERIT DETERMINATION** [4A-1](#)

 2. **SOLICITATION OF A WITHDRAWAL PRIOR TO AN RD DECISION ON THE MERITS BASED ON AN AGENT’S EVALUATION OF THE WEIGHT OF THE EVIDENCE** [4A-3](#)

 3. **HOW THE AGENT PROCEEDS IF A WITHDRAWAL REQUEST IS, OR IS NOT, SUBMITTED** [4A-4](#)

 4. **WHEN THE RD DISAGREES WITH AN AGENT’S DECISION TO SOLICIT A WITHDRAWAL** [4A-5](#)

B. REGIONAL DIRECTOR APPROVAL OF REQUEST TO WITHDRAW CHARGE PRIOR TO A REGIONAL DIRECTOR MERIT DETERMINATION [4B-1](#)

 1. **A WITHDRAWAL REQUEST PRIOR TO A NON-MERIT DETERMINATION** [4B-1](#)

 2. **WITHDRAWAL REQUEST WHEN RESOLUTION IS A PSIWOC** [4B-1](#)

 3. **PARTIAL WITHDRAWAL** [4B-2](#)

 4. **RESCINDING A WITHDRAWAL REQUEST** [4B-3](#)

C. CONSULTATION, ADVICE AND CLEARANCE [4C-1](#)

 1. **CONSULTATION** [4C-1](#)

2.	ADVICE	4C-1
3.	CLEARANCE	4C-2
D.	REGIONAL DIRECTOR MERIT DETERMINATIONS	4D-1
1.	WHEN A CASE IS READY FOR PRESENTATION TO THE RD FOR DECISION	4D-1
2.	PRESENTATION OF THE CASE TO THE RD	4D-2
3.	WEIGHING THE EVIDENCE IN THE INVESTIGATIVE AND DECISION-MAKING PROCESS	4D-4
4.	PRE-COMPLAINT UNILATERAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS WHERE RD HAS MADE A MERIT DETERMINATION	4D-6
5.	PRE-COMPLAINT BILATERAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS WHERE THE RD HAS MADE A MERIT DETERMINATION	4D-7
E.	SOLICITING WITHDRAWAL AFTER A REGIONAL DIRECTOR NON-MERIT DETERMINATION	4E-1
1.	THE AGENT SOLICITS WITHDRAWAL OF CHARGE	4E-1
2.	THE PROTOCOL FOR THE AGENT’S EXPLANATION OF BASIS FOR THE NON-MERIT DECISION	4E-2
3.	HOW THE CHARGING PARTY REQUESTS TO WITHDRAW CHARGE	4E-3
4.	EXTENSION OF TIME TO SUBMIT WITHDRAWAL REQUEST	4E-3
5.	THE AGENT IS UNABLE TO CONTACT THE CHARGING PARTY	4E-5
6.	RO DISCLOSURE TO THE CHARGED PARTY AFTER AN RD NON-MERIT DECISION	4E-5
7.	THE AGENT DOCUMENTS ALL PARTY CONTACTS IN THE CASE FILE	4E-6
F.	PROSECUTORIAL DISCRETION	4F-1
1.	GOAL OF EXERCISING PROSECUTORIAL DISCRETION	4F-1
2.	PROSECUTORIAL DISCRETION CRITERIA	4F-1
3.	CONSIDERATION OF PROSECUTORIAL DISCRETION DURING THE INVESTIGATION AND AFTER A MERIT DETERMINATION	4F-4

G. SETTLEMENTS [4G-1](#)

 1. THE GENERAL GOAL OF ALL SETTLEMENTS [4G-1](#)

 2. THE SPECIFIC GOALS OF SETTLEMENTS [4G-1](#)

 3. IMPLEMENTATION OF SETTLEMENTS [4G-2](#)

 4. CRITERIA FOR AN RD’S APPROVAL OF A UNILATERAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT [4G-4](#)

 5. FORMAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT [4G-5](#)

 6. ENFORCEMENT OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT [4G-6](#)

H. DISMISSAL LETTERS [4H-1](#)

 1. BASES FOR DISMISSAL OF A CHARGE [4H-1](#)

 2. CRITERIA OF A QUALITY DISMISSAL LETTER [4H-2](#)

 3. PARTIAL DISMISSALS [4H-3](#)

 4. DISMISSALS BASED ON PROSECUTORIAL DISCRETION [4H-3](#)

 5. REVOCATION OF DISMISSAL [4H-3](#)

 6. SERVICE OF DISMISSAL LETTER AND REVOCATION OF DISMISSAL LETTER [4H-4](#)

[PART 5](#) - POST-DECISION AND ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

A. CUSTOMER STANDARDS [5A-1](#)

 1. THE FLRA CUSTOMER SERVICE STANDARDS [5A-1](#)

 2. THE OGC IMPLEMENTS THE FLRA CUSTOMER SERVICE STANDARDS IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER [5A-2](#)

B. ETHICS [5B-1](#)

 1. TWO OF THE CORE CONCEPTS [5B-1](#)

 2. ALL PARTICIPANTS IN AN INVESTIGATION ARE TREATED FAIRLY AND EQUITABLY AND THE OGC’S INVESTIGATIVE METHODS WILL BE EXPLAINED TO THE PARTICIPANTS [5B-2](#)

 3. APPLICATION OF SELECTED PROVISIONS OF THE STANDARDS OF ETHICAL CONDUCT DURING ULP INVESTIGATIONS [5B-2](#)

 4. ROLE OF OGC EMPLOYEES IN THE DELIVERY OF ALTERNATIVE CASE PROCESSING PROCEDURE [5B-7](#)

C. APPEALS PROCESS [5C-1](#)

 1. NOTIFICATION OF APPEAL RIGHTS [5C-1](#)

 2. WHERE APPEALS ARE FILED [5C-1](#)

 3. THE APPEALS CASE FILE [5C-2](#)

 4. THE DISMISSING REGION’S RESPONSIBILITIES [5C-2](#)

 5. THE ASSIGNMENT OF AN APPEALS CASE FOR REVIEW [5C-4](#)

 6. CONDUCTING AN APPEALS REVIEW [5C-6](#)

 7. GROUNDS FOR GRANTING AN APPEAL OF AN RD’S DECISION SET FORTH AT § 2423.11(e) [5C-7](#)

 8. DISPOSITION OF THE APPEAL [5C-9](#)

 9. PREPARING THE DRAFT APPEAL DETERMINATION LETTER [5C-9](#)

 10. CASE FILE ANALYSIS [5C-11](#)

 11. THE COMPLETION OF AN APPEALS REVIEW [5C-13](#)

 12. QUALITY STANDARDS FOR APPEALS CASE PROCESSING [5C-14](#)

D. PARITY [5D-1](#)

 1. THE GOALS OF PARITY [5D-1](#)

 2. HOW PARITY IS IMPLEMENTED [5D-1](#)

E. TRANSFERRING CASES BETWEEN REGIONS [5E-1](#)

 1. THE GOALS OF TRANSFER POLICY [5E-1](#)

 2. HOW A TRANSFER OF CASES BETWEEN ROs IS IMPLEMENTED [5E-1](#)

F. PERIODIC GEOGRAPHIC JURISDICTION REVIEW [5F-1](#)

 1. GOALS OF GEOGRAPHIC JURISDICTION REVIEW [5F-1](#)

 2. HOW REVIEW IS IMPLEMENTED [5F-1](#)

 3. CHANGES IN REGIONAL JURISDICTIONS [5F-2](#)

G. COMPLIANCE WITH AUTHORITY ULP ORDERS [5G-1](#)

 1. EFFECTUATING COMPLIANCE [5G-1](#)

 2. POSTINGS [5G-2](#)

 3. AFFIRMATIVE PROVISIONS OTHER THAN BACKPAY [5G-3](#)

 4. INVESTIGATING ALLEGATIONS OF NONCOMPLIANCE [5G-4](#)

5.	CLOSING A CASE OR REFERRING A CASE TO THE AUTHORITY	5G-4
6.	REGIONAL ACTION AFTER REFERRAL OF AN ALLEGATION OF NONCOMPLIANCE TO THE AUTHORITY	5G-6
7.	ENFORCEMENT PROCEEDINGS	5G-8
8.	RESPONDENT FILES A PETITION FOR REVIEW OR STATES AN INTENT NOT TO COMPLY	5G-9
H. PROCESSING ULP CHARGES ALLEGING NONCOMPLIANCE WITH AN INFORMAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT		
1.	SCOPE OF INVESTIGATION OF ULP CHARGE	5H-1
2.	NO NEW INDEPENDENT ULP	5H-1
3.	AN INVESTIGATION OF ALLEGED NONCOMPLIANCE	5H-2
4.	ALLEGATION OF NONCOMPLIANCE NOT SUBSTANTIATED	5H-2
5.	ALLEGATION OF NONCOMPLIANCE SUBSTANTIATED	5H-2
I. PROCESSING ALLEGED NONCOMPLIANCE WITH AUTHORITY DECISIONS AND ORDERS ON NEGOTIABILITY ISSUES		
1.	AN RD'S AUTHORITY	5I-1
2.	PROCESSING ULP CHARGES ALLEGING NONCOMPLIANCE WITH AUTHORITY NEGOTIABILITY ORDERS	5I-2
J. BACKPAY		
1.	BACKPAY PERIOD	5J-1
2.	INTEREST ON BACKPAY	5J-1
3.	PREPARATION OF BACKPAY COMPUTATION	5J-2
4.	BACKPAY COMPUTATION	5J-2
5.	FORMAL BACKPAY PROCEEDINGS	5J-5
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES		TOA-1
INDEX		I-1